

PEDIATRIC INFECTIOUS DISEASE SOCIETY OF THE PHILIPPINES

PIDSP JOURNAL Vol. 10 No.2 July-December 2010

The 2009 antimicrobial resistance surveillance program: progress report Celia C. Carlos, MD Research Institute of Tropical Medicine......2

Clinical characteristics of children with complicated communityacquired pneumonia who were admitted at makati medical center from january 1999 to august 2009.

Joanna Bisquera-Cacpal, MD, Joseph Dale Gutierrez, MD, Robert Dennis Garcia, MD Makati Medical Center......9

Racecadotril in the treatment of acute diarrhea in children: a meta-analysis

Serologic status of neonates born to hepatitis b positive mothers and given hepatitis b vaccine at birth in a tertiary government hospital from january 2007 to june 2008: a pilot study

Isnihaya M. Mapandi, MD Northern Mindanao Medical Center......32

Post-marketing surveillance of a live-attenuated varicella (oka-strain) vaccine in the philippines

Determining correct dosing regimens of antibiotics based on the their bactericidal activity*

Cecilia C. Maramba-Lazarte, MD, MScID University of the Philippines College of Medicine-Philippine General Hospital, *Excerpt from "Rational Antibiotic Use for Pediatrics, A Study Guide and Workbook.....44

Vol.11 No.2 July-December 2010

AUTHORS: Jose Salazar, MD*, Salvacion Gatchalian, MD**⁺, Hans L Bock MD**

* Dept of Pediatrics, University of the East-Ramon Magsaysay Memorial Medical Center, Aurora Boulevard, Quezon City, Philippines

** GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals, Wavre, Belgium

⁺University of the Philippines College of Medicine, Manila

KEYWORDS

Varicella, varicella vaccine, oka strain

ABSTRACT

ABSTRACT

Post-marketing surveillance (PMS) of live-attenuated Oka-strain varicella vaccine (*Varilrix*TM) was conducted in Filipino population aged less than nine months. Three thousand four hundred ninety six subjects aged \geq nine months who received *Varilrix*TM as part of routine vaccination were enrolled in this study spanning over a three-year period. Subjects aged <13 years (Group 1) received a single dose of the vaccine and those aged \geq 13 years (Group 2) received two doses with an interval of six-to-ten weeks between doses. Solicited symptoms were collected 30 minutes following vaccination or when subjects returned for the next visit. Unsolicited symptoms were recorded during the 43-day post-vaccination follow-up period. Serious adverse events were recorded throughout the study period. Pain and fever were the most frequently reported and solicited local and general symptoms. Unsolicited symptoms causally related to vaccination were reported in 3.2% (Group 1) and 4.3% (Group 2) of subjects. No serious adverse effects were reported. *Varilrix*TM is well-tolerated and has an acceptable safety profile.

INTRODUCTION

Varicella infection is a contagious childhood ailment caused by varicella zoster virus (VZV).¹ The disease is characterized by fever, malaise and a generalized vesicular rash. Although varicella is believed to cause a mild and benign disease in children, it can lead to complications in older children and adults.²

In tropical regions like Southeast Asia, varicella infections are acquired by the older age groups, resulting in greater susceptibility to the disease among adults.^{2,3,4,5} In the Philippines (1990s), the seropositivity rate in terms of anti-VZV antibodies was 74% in the 21–to-25 year old age group, but has increased to 85% to 90% by age 40.⁶ Considering the potential negative impact of VZV on the health of people, there is a significant implication of such vaccine on the vaccination programs.⁷

Oka-strain varicella vaccine (*Varilrix*^M, GlaxoSmithKline [GSK] Biologicals) has been licensed in 92 countries for the immunization of healthy children aged \geq nine months without a prior history of varicella.⁸ Children aged <13 years are recommended to receive one dose of the vaccine and those aged \geq 13 years are recommended to receive two vaccine doses with a six-week interval between doses.^{9,10}

Post-marketing surveillance (PMS) studies are mandatory in the Philippines for newly registered biological products.¹¹ The results from a PMS study conducted to assess the safety and reactogenicity of *Varilrix*[™] as used in routine clinical practice in accordance with the recommendations in the Prescribing Information (PI) in Filipino subjects are presented here.

BRIEF REPORT

MATERIALS AND METHODS

of

mL)

(0.5

pfu/dose.

Live-attenuated Oka-strain of Varicellazoster virus (*Varilrix*™, GSK Biologicals) was

lyophilized

vaccine

by the

obtained by the propagation of the virus in the

MRC5 human diploid cell culture. Each dose

reconstituted with the diluent, contained live-

attenuated Oka-strain not less than 10^{3.3}

Philippines between December 1995 and

March 1999 according to Good Clinical Practice,

the 1996 version of Declaration of Helsinki. The

Department of Health (DOH), Philippines and

written informed consent was obtained from

the study participants before performance of

subjects (N=3496) aged nine months onwards

for whom they had prescribed *Varilrix*[™] in the course of the normal immunization practice. Since age-related data was not available for 135

subjects, analysis was performed on 3361

subjects. Subjects aged <13 years received

subcutaneous injection of one dose of the

vaccine and those aged ≥13 years received two

doses (6-10 weeks apart). Healthy subjects

without a history of varicella infection, acute

febrile illness, not allergic to the vaccine strain

and not having received immunoglobulins three

months prior to receiving the study vaccine

were enrolled. All symptoms were reported

retrospectively by the subjects at the end of the

43-day post-vaccination follow-up period (at

Visit 2 for subjects who received one dose of

the vaccine; at Visit 2 and 3 for subjects who

received two doses of the vaccine). The adverse

events either observed by the investigator or

spontaneously reported by the subjects were

Participating physicians enrolled healthy

study protocol was approved

any study-specific procedures.

This PMS study was conducted in the

the

Subjects were observed for 30 minutes after vaccination for any anaphylactic reactions. Solicited local (redness, swelling and pain at

subject's case report form.

injection site) and general (fever and rash) symptoms were recorded 30 minutes following vaccination or when subjects returned for the visit (43-days after next vaccination). Unsolicited symptoms reported during the 43day post-vaccination follow-up period were recorded retrospectively. The intensity of adverse events were graded from 0-3 (0 =none, 1 = mild, 2 = moderate, 3 = severe). Fever was defined as axillary temperature \geq 37.5°C. Serious adverse events (SAE) were recorded throughout the study period.

Analysis was performed on all subjects who had received at least one dose of the vaccine and for whom age-related data was available (total study cohort).The percentage of doses followed by any symptom (solicited/unsolicited) reported during the 43day follow-up period was tabulated along with 95% Confidence Interval (CI). All statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 8.2.

RESULTS

The study cohort consisted of 3361 subjects, who can be evaluated— 3266 subjects aged <13 years (Group1) and 95 subjects aged \geq 13 years (Group 2). The mean age (±SD) of the subjects was 2.77 (± 2.6) years in Group 1 and 20.80 (± 9.9) years in Group 2. The ratio of male and female subjects was similar in both groups.

Documentation on post-vaccination adverse events was available for 2903 subjects (2811 and 92 subjects in Group 1 and Group 2, respectively) of the 3361 subjects who received the vaccine. Overall incidence of any symptoms was reported following 8% (Group 1) and 4% (Group 2) of doses (Figure 1). Pain at the injection site (following $\leq 2\%$ of doses) was the most frequently reported, solicited, local symptom while fever (following $\leq 3.5\%$ of doses) was the most frequently reported, solicited, general symptom in both groups during the 43-day post-vaccination follow-up period (Figure 2).

Percentage of subjects reporting unsolicited adverse events classified by WHO preferred

term during 43 days after vaccination was 5.1% (Group 1) and 5.4% (Group 2). Non-serious adverse events causally related to vaccination were reported by 3.2% of subjects in Group 1 and 4.3% of subjects in Group 2. Injection site reaction was the most frequently reported non-serious adverse event (2.1% and 3.3% of subjects in Group 1 and Group 2, respectively). One subject in Group 1 reported Grade 3 fever during the post-vaccination follow-up period. No unsolicited symptoms were reported post-dose 2 in Group 2. No SAE was reported during the entire study period.

DISCUSSION

Before the introduction of routine varicella vaccines in developing Southeast Asian countries, certain factors like the epidemiology of varicella and the socioeconomic impact of varicella compared to other health concerns competing for the limited resources have to be taken into consideration. In most developing countries, vaccine-preventable diseases with higher mortality rates are of high priority than routine varicella mass vaccination. Routine varicella vaccination is being considered in developing countries only if there is sufficient evidence of high incidence. Varicella disease incidence rate the Philippines in is 47.8/100,000, leading 35,700 to hospitalizations annually, and a case-fatality rate of 0.082/100,000 population.¹² Due to this disease burden and its effect on morbidity and mortality rates, WHO has recommended the introduction of routine varicella vaccination of healthy children in this region.^{2,13}

This study showed that the overall reactogenicity of the vaccine to be low with < 8% of subjects reporting any symptoms, which is in line with the results of a previous prospective study with *Varilrix*^m in the Philippines.² Pain at the injection site (following $\leq 2\%$ of doses) and fever (following $\leq 3.5\%$ of doses) were the most frequently reported solicited local and general symptom in both groups, respectively. Unsolicited symptoms were reported by <6% of subjects in both groups. No subjects experienced any SAE and

Downloaded from www.pidsphil.org

no mortalities were reported during the entire study period of 3 years.

Safety and reactogenicity of the vaccine were assessed by recording of symptoms noted by the physician or as reported by the subjects spontaneously at the end of the 43-day postvaccination follow-up period. Due to the time lag between the occurrence of symptoms and in reporting the same, there were chances of under-reporting of the adverse events, which was considered as one of the main drawbacks of this PMS study. However, unlike the prelicensure studies which are conducted in a controlled manner, the PMS studies help to detect rare adverse events that were not observed in the pre-licensure studies. Thus, a more complete safety profile of a vaccine can be determined in a post-marketing study, when the vaccine is given to a large number of people in an uncontrolled setting.¹⁴

Based on the results of this study and the data from other previous studies conducted using *Varilrix*^M, it can be concluded that *Varilrix*^M was well-tolerated with an acceptable reactogenicity profile in the Filipino children, adolescents and young adults aged >9 months after either one or two doses of the vaccine. Hence, the DOH of the Philippines can now consider the introduction of mass varicella vaccination program for healthy Filipino population.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Priya Rajagopal for providing statistical input, Geetha Subramanyam for providing medical writing and Roselynn Tien for providing editorial assistance and manuscript coordination. All are from GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals.

Funding source: GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals was the funding source and was involved in all stages of the study conduct and analysis. GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals also took in charge all cost associated to the development and the publishing of the present manuscript. The corresponding author had full access to the

data, and final responsibility for submission of the publication.

Declaration of Conflict of interest: Dr Jose Salazar does not have any conflict of interest. Dr Salvacion Gatchalian, and Dr Hans L Bock were employees of GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals during the time of study conduct and/or data analysis/interpretation and manuscript preparation. In addition, Dr Gatchalian owns GlaxoSmithKline shares.

Trademark statement: *Varilrix* is a trademark of the GlaxoSmithKline group of companies.

REFERENCES

- Stück B, Stehr K, Bock HL. Concomitant administration of varicella vaccine with combined measles, mumps and rubella vaccine in healthy children aged 12 to 24 months of age. Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol. 2002; 20: 113-20.
- 2. Barzaga NG, Florese RH, Bock HL. Reactogenicity and immunogenicity of a varicella vaccine in healthy seronegative and seropositive subjects. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health. 2002; 33: 259-67.
- Marin M, Güris D, Chaves SS, et al. Prevention of varicella. Recommendation of the advisory committee on immunization practices (ACIP). MMWR Recomm Rep. 2007; 56: 1-40.
- 4. Heininger U, Seward JF. Varicella (Seminar). Lancet. 2006; 368: 1365-76.
- 5. Sauerbrei A. Differences in varicella-zoster virus seroepidemiology between temperate and tropical regions. Indian J Med Sci. 2007; 61: 123-4.
- 6. Macaladad N, Marcano T, Guzman M, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of a zoster vaccine in varicellazoster virus seronegative and low-seropositive healthy adults. Vaccine. 2007; 25: 2139-44.

- 43
- Lee BW. Review of varicella zoster seroepidemiology in India and Southeast Asia. J Trop Med Int Health. 1998; 3: 886-90.
- Kreth HW, Lee BW, Kosuwan P, et al. Sixteen years of global experience with the first refrigerator-stable varicella vaccine (Varilrix). BioDrugs. 2008; 22: 387-402.
- 9. Chiu SS, Lau YL. Review of the Varilrix varicella vaccine. Expert Rev Vaccines. 2005; 4: 629-43.
- 10. Clements DA. Varicella vaccination in children. BioDrugs. 2000; 14: 49-60.
- Department of Health, Philippines. Rules and regulations on the registration, including approval and conducting clinical trials, and lot or batch release certification of vaccines and biologic products 2001 (No.47-a)
- 12. Gatchalian S, Tabora C, Bermal N, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of a varicella vaccine (OKAVAX[™]) and a trivalent measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine (TRIMOVAX[™]) administered concomitantly in healthy Filipino children 12–24 months old. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2004; 70: 273-7.
- 13. World Health Organization. Wkly Epidemiol Rec. 1998; 73: 241-8.
- Sharrar RG, LaRussa P, Galea SA, et al. The postmarketing safety profile of varicella vaccine. Vaccine. 2001; 19: 916-23.